


# Ivey International Inc.


## Case Study: Monroe, Connecticut, USA

### Monroe Facts:


- Former heating oil terminal from the mid-1950's to the late 1970's
- No. 2 fuel oil was stored at the site
- Multiple releases occurred over time
- Site and surrounding area are wetlands, with the former terminal area elevated with fill material for commercial use
- Irregular fill consisting of sand, silt, gravel and boulders with some timbers and metal buried throughout the site
- Sensitive receptors are adjacent stream and down-gradient potable wells
- High vacuum (dual phase) extraction system in use at the site since late 1999
- Selective Phase Transfer Technology (SPTT) system installed in May 2002
- Monthly SPTT injections commenced in May 2002



Site Images



Influent Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon Concentration



### Conclusions:

- Mass Recovery = Flow Rate x Concentration
- Mass Recovery (pounds per day) = gallons per minute (gpm) x mg/l x 0.012
- 3.785 l/gal x 1 lb/454,000 mg x 1440 minutes/day = 0.012
- Mass Recovery prior to the injection period is based on an average influent concentration of 0.75 mg/l
- 8 gpm x .075 mg/l x 0.012 = 0.072 lbs/day = 3.269 x 10<sup>-4</sup> mg/day (prior to SPTT use)
- Mass Recovery during the injection period is based on a concentration average calculated using the post injection peak concentrations of 3.07 mg/l
- 8 gpm x 3.07 mg/l x 0.012 = 0.29472 lbs/day = 13.38 x 10<sup>-4</sup> mg/day (during SPTT use)
- **Pre vs. post injection mass removal rates show an increase of 409.3%**

**Today's Environmental Solutions For a Better Tomorrow.™**